

S/N 09/587,765

Response to Office Action Dated 10/22/2004

REMARKS

A review of the claims indicates that:

- A) Claim 24 remains in original form;
- B) Claims 13—23 were previously presented; and
- C) Claims 1—12 and 25—34 are cancelled.

Drawings

In the Office Action mailed 10/22/2004, the Patent Office objected to the drawings under 37CFR 1.83(a), saying that the drawings failed to show “a browser that describes a vector shape on top of a raster image,” as recited by the claims.

This language was found only in Claim 27. Claim 27 has been cancelled. Accordingly, the Patent Office’s objection to the drawings is now moot in view of the cancellation of Claim 27. The Applicant reserves the right to reinstate claim 27 in a later application claiming priority to this application.

Claim Cancellations

Claims 1—12 and 25—34 are cancelled without prejudice to the Applicant’s right to file the same or similar claims in a later application claiming priority to this application. Accordingly, the section 112, 102, 103 and drawings objections are moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13—24 were found to have allowable subject matter, and were allowed by the Examiner in the Office Action mailed 10/22/2004.

S/N 09/587,765

Response to Office Action Dated 10/22/2004

Conclusion

The Applicant has cancelled all claims not allowed by the Office Action mailed 10/22/2004. Therefore, only the allowed claims remain. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the application.

The objection to the drawings is moot in view of the cancellation of Claim 27.

If any issues remain prior to allowance of the application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney so that they may be resolved as quickly as possible.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 4-18-2005By: David S. Thompson

David S. Thompson
Reg. No. 37,954
Attorney for Applicant
LEE & HAYES PLLC, Suite 500
421 W. Riverside Avenue
Spokane, Washington 99201
Telephone: 509-324-9256 x235
Facsimile: (509) 323-8979